Program Review Executive Summary Template

Institution Name: Oklahoma Panhandle State University

Program Name and State Regents Code: 035 Vocational Agricultural Education

List Any Options: None

Date of Review: 11/8/2019 Recommended Date of Next Review: 2024

Centrality to Institutional Mission:

The program of Vocational Agriculture Education follows the Oklahoma Panhandle State University mission of "Rooted in "Progress through Knowledge," OPSU is committed to promoting excellence in the preparation of students for success in a global community." This is done through its goals, which align to the primary points of "progress through knowledge... in a global community" with a focus on oral and written communication, analytical and quantitative reasoning, and social responsibility and cultural awareness.

Program Objectives and Goals:

Goal 1: Oral and Written Communication: Communicate effectively using written, oral, and symbolic languages Student Learning Objectives:

- 1) Students will be able to demonstrate an ability to communicate effectively in a professional written form.
- 2) Students will be demonstrate the ability to plan a unit of instruction.

Goal 2: Analytical and Quantitative Reasoning: Read and think critically by analyzing, assimilating, and applying information Student Learning Objectives:

- 1) Agricultural education majors will indicate proficiency in content coursework necessary to become teachers of high school agriculture curriculum.
- 2) Oklahoma Subject Area Test (OSAT) scores will be used to determine content knowledge of Agricultural Education majors by the OSAT exam. Goal 3: Social Responsibility and Cultural Awareness: Be an aware and active participant in the global, dynamic community

Student Learning Objectives:

1) Students will demonstrate knowledge in diversity and human development.

1) Students Will delinensured line	1) 2 th do not will define the week in division of the principal of the pr						
Quality Indicators Such As:	Student benchmarks were met in all student-learning objectives at the time of the Program Review. These benchmarks and						
	objectives have been revised to encourage program growth.						
	Student evaluations are used by faculty regularly to make changes to assure students are getting the education required to be						
	effective educators of agriculture.						
	Learning environments for the student are becoming more effective. Faculty in the department participated in a campus wide						
	evaluation of the learning management system; the digital learning space of D2L was reevaluated Summer 2018 and found to						
	still be a great fit for our students and their learning.						
	The capacity of the vocational agricultural education program to meet needs and expectations of constituencies is found in that						
	there is an annual shortage of qualified agricultural education instructors in the public schools that is alleviated through						
	graduates from this program.						
Productivity for Most Recent	Number of Degrees: 2.75 average over past 4 years						
5 Years	Number of Majors: 15 average over past 4 years						

Other Quantitative Measures	Number of Courses for Major: 26								
Such As:	Student Credit Hours in Major: 78								
	2014/2015 Direct Instructional Costs: \$66,958								
	2015/2016 Direct Instructional Costs: \$69458								
	2016/2017 Direct Instructional Costs: \$70181								
	2017/2018 Direct Instructional Costs: \$67308								
	2018/2019 Direct Instructional Costs: \$76423.51								
	Supporting Credit Hour Production: 7								
	Faculty Member (Credential	Institutio	Institution					
	Nels Peterson I	PhD	North Da	kota State Uni	versity				
	Number of FTE faculty in specialized courses: 1								
Duplication and Demand	The Bachelor in Vocational Agricultural Education program is in place to assist with the annual shortage of qualified								
	agricultural education instructors in the public schools that is alleviated through graduates from this program.								
	There is no comparable university offering a similar program within a reasonable distance.								
Effective Use of Resources		2014/2015	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019			
	Cost to operate program	\$248.75	\$259.55	\$266.21	\$268.70	\$298.70			
	per student credit hour								
	Faculty/ student ratio	1/9.5	1/11	1/15	1/16.5	1/16.5			
Strengths and Weaknesses	Strengths of the program include class size, opportunity for one-on-one instruction and mentoring, and the diversity of								
	experiences that agricultural education candidates bring which provides a wide variety of experiences and knowledge.								
	One major weakness of the program is low graduate and enrollment numbers.								
Recommendations	Expand to include options for both academic and communications. This need is one born of analyzing what other universities								
	with agricultural education programs are doing.								
Recommendations									